

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA
MISSOULA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TRACI JANELLE BAUMGARDNER,

Defendant.

CR 19–14–M–DLC

ORDER

Before the Court is Defendant Traci Janelle Baumgardner's Unopposed Motion for Early Termination of Probation. (Doc. 220.) Ms. Baumgardner requests that this Court prematurely terminate the remaining portion of her probationary term. (*Id.*) Neither the United States Attorney's Office nor the United States Probation Office oppose this request. (*Id.* at 2.) For the reasons stated herein, the Court will grant the motion.

Under federal law, this Court may:

after considering the factors set forth in section 3553(a) to the extent that they are applicable, may, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure relating to the modification of probation,¹ terminate a term of probation previously ordered and discharge the defendant at any time in the case of a misdemeanor or

¹ The Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure generally obligate this Court to hold a hearing prior to modifying the length of Ms. Baumgardner's probation. FED. R. CRIM. P. 32.1(c). Such a hearing is not necessary, however, if Ms. Baumgardner waives it, or if the proposed modification is favorable to her and the United States does not object. The premature termination of Ms. Baumgardner's probation is obviously favorable to her and, as noted above, the United States does not object. Accordingly, the Court will dispose of this matter without a hearing.

an infraction or at any time after the expiration of one year of probation in the case of a felony, if it is satisfied that such action is warranted by the conduct of the defendant and the interest of justice.

18 U.S.C. § 3564(c).

The relevant § 3553(a) factors generally include “the nature and circumstances of the offense, the need for deterrence, the need to protect the public, the need to provide defendant with training or medical care, and the relevant provisions of the Sentencing Guidelines.” *United States v. Gross*, 307 F.3d 1043, 1044 (9th Cir. 2002) (construing the factors applicable to the early termination of supervised release). This Court “need not discuss every factor,” but the record must sufficiently establish that the Court fulfilled its “duty to explain its sentencing decisions” which extends to the early termination of probation context. *See United States v. Emmett*, 749 F.3d 817, 821 (9th Cir. 2014) (applying this standard to early termination of supervised release motions).

Ms. Baumgardner pled guilty to one count of conspiracy to defraud the United States, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371, and on June 18, 2020, was sentenced to 3 years probation. (Doc. 139 at 1–2.) This means Ms. Baumgardner has served at least one year of her probationary term, rendering her statutorily eligible for termination under 18 U.S.C. § 3564(c). Upon considering the relevant factors, the Court finds they support terminating the remaining portion of Ms. Baumgardner’s probationary sentence. While Ms. Baumgardner’s underlying conviction is

undoubtedly serious, her actions since beginning her probationary term demonstrate that no additional expenditure of resources on her behalf is necessary.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED the motion (Doc. 220) is GRANTED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3564(c), Ms. Baumgardner's remaining term of probation is TERMINATED.

The Clerk of Court is directed to notify the United States Probation Office of the making of this Order.

DATED this 27th day of March, 2023.



Dana L. Christensen, District Judge
United States District Court